AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY SEPTEMBER 4, 2009

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY AUGUST 17, 2009

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY JULY 6, 2009

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY JUNE 22, 2009

AMENDED IN SENATE APRIL 21, 2009

SENATE BILL

No. 820

Introduced by Senators Negrete McLeod and Aanestad

March 10, 2009

An act to amend Sections 800, 803.1, 805, 805.1, 805.5, 821.5, and 2027 of, and to add Section 805.01 to to add Sections 805.01 and 821.4 to, and to repeal Section 821.6 of, the Business and Professions Code, relating to healing arts.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 820, as amended, Negrete McLeod. Healing arts: peer review. Existing law provides for the professional review of specified healing arts licentiates through a peer review process.

This bill would define the term "peer review" for purposes of those provisions.

Under existing law, specified persons are required to file a report, designated as an "805 report," with a licensing board within 15 days after a specified action is taken against a person licensed by that board.

This bill would also require specified persons to file a report with a licensing board within 15 days after a peer review body makes a decision or recommendation regarding the disciplinary action to be taken against a licentiate of that board based on the peer review body's determination, following formal investigation, that the licentiate may have engaged in

 $SB 820 \qquad \qquad -2-$

various acts, including gross negligence, incompetence, substance abuse, excessive prescribing or furnishing of controlled substances, or sexual misconduct, among other things. The bill would authorize the board to inspect and copy certain documents in the record of that investigation.

Existing law requires the board to maintain an 805 report for a period of 3 years after receipt.

This bill would require the board to maintain the report electronically. Existing law authorizes the Medical Board of California, the Osteopathic Medical Board of California, and the Dental Board of California to inspect and copy certain documents in the record of any disciplinary proceeding resulting in action that is required to be reported in an 805 report.

This bill would specify that the boards have the authority to also inspect, *as permitted by other applicable law*, any certified copy of medical records in the record of the disciplinary proceeding.

Existing law requires specified healing arts boards to maintain a central file of their licensees containing, among other things, disciplinary information reported through 805 reports.

Under this bill, if a court finds, in a final judgment, that the peer review resulting in the 805 report was conducted in bad faith and the licensee who is the subject of the report notifies the board of that finding, the board would be required to include that finding in the licensee's central file.

Existing law requires the Medical Board of California, the Osteopathic Medical Board of California, and the California Board of Podiatric Medicine to disclose an 805 report to specified health care entities and to disclose certain hospital disciplinary actions to inquiring members of the public. Existing law also requires the Medical Board of California to post hospital disciplinary actions regarding its licensees on the Internet.

This bill would prohibit those disclosures, and would require the Medical Board of California to remove certain information posted on the Internet, if a court finds, in a final judgment, that the peer review resulting in the 805 report or the hospital disciplinary action was conducted in bad faith and the licensee notifies the board of that finding. The bill would also require the Medical Board of California to include certain exculpatory or explanatory statements in those disclosures or postings and would require the board to post on the Internet a factsheet that explains and provides information on the 805 reporting requirements.

3 SB 820

Existing law also requires the Medical Board of California, the Osteopathic Medical Board of California, and the California Board of Podiatric Medicine to disclose to an inquiring member of the public information regarding enforcement actions taken against a licensee by the board or by another state or jurisdiction.

This bill would also require those boards to make those disclosures regarding enforcement actions taken against former licensees.

Existing law requires a peer review body that reviews physicians and surgeons to, under specified circumstances, report certain information to an obsolete diversion program within the Medical Board of California.

This bill would instead require the report to be made directly to the executive director of the board and would make other conforming changes.

The bill would make related nonsubstantive changes.

The bill would also provide that it shall become operative only if AB 120 is also enacted and becomes operative.

The bill would incorporate additional changes to Section 800 of the Business and Professions Code, proposed by SB 819, to be operative only if both bills are chaptered and become effective on or before January 1, 2010, and this bill is chaptered last.

The bill would incorporate additional changes to Section 805 of the Business and Professions Code, proposed by SB 821, to be operative only if both bills are chaptered and become effective on or before January 1, 2010, and this bill is chaptered last.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

- 1 SECTION 1. Section 800 of the Business and Professions Code
- 2 is amended to read:
- 3 800. (a) The Medical Board of California, the Board of
- 4 Psychology, the Dental Board of California, the Osteopathic
- 5 Medical Board of California, the State Board of Chiropractic
- 6 Examiners, the Board of Registered Nursing, the Board of
- 7 Vocational Nursing and Psychiatric Technicians, the State Board
- 8 of Optometry, the Veterinary Medical Board, the Board of
- 9 Behavioral Sciences, the Physical Therapy Board of California,
- 10 the California State Board of Pharmacy, and the Speech-Language

SB 820 —4—

Pathology and Audiology Board shall each separately create and maintain a central file of the names of all persons who hold a license, certificate, or similar authority from that board. Each central file shall be created and maintained to provide an individual historical record for each licensee with respect to the following information:

- (1) Any conviction of a crime in this or any other state that constitutes unprofessional conduct pursuant to the reporting requirements of Section 803.
- (2) Any judgment or settlement requiring the licensee or his or her insurer to pay any amount of damages in excess of three thousand dollars (\$3,000) for any claim that injury or death was proximately caused by the licensee's negligence, error or omission in practice, or by rendering unauthorized professional services, pursuant to the reporting requirements of Section 801 or 802.
- (3) Any public complaints for which provision is made pursuant to subdivision (b).
- (4) Disciplinary information reported pursuant to Section 805, including any additional exculpatory or explanatory statements submitted by the licentiate pursuant to subdivision (f) of Section 805. If a court finds, in a final judgment, that the peer review resulting in the 805 report was conducted in bad faith and the licensee who is the subject of the report notifies the board of that finding, the board shall include that finding in the central file. For purposes of this paragraph, "peer review" has the same meaning as defined in Section 805.
- (5) Information reported pursuant to Section 805.01, including any explanatory or exculpatory information submitted by the licensee pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 805.01.
- (b) Each board shall prescribe and promulgate forms on which members of the public and other licensees or certificate holders may file written complaints to the board alleging any act of misconduct in, or connected with, the performance of professional services by the licensee.

If a board, or division thereof, a committee, or a panel has failed to act upon a complaint or report within five years, or has found that the complaint or report is without merit, the central file shall be purged of information relating to the complaint or report.

Notwithstanding this subdivision, the Board of Psychology, the Board of Behavioral Sciences, and the Respiratory Care Board of **—5— SB 820**

California shall maintain complaints or reports as long as each 2 board deems necessary. 3

1

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

(c) The contents of any central file that are not public records under any other provision of law shall be confidential except that the licensee involved, or his or her counsel or representative, shall have the right to inspect and have copies made of his or her complete file except for the provision that may disclose the identity of an information source. For the purposes of this section, a board may protect an information source by providing a copy of the material with only those deletions necessary to protect the identity of the source or by providing a comprehensive summary of the substance of the material. Whichever method is used, the board shall ensure that full disclosure is made to the subject of any personal information that could reasonably in any way reflect or convey anything detrimental, disparaging, or threatening to a licensee's reputation, rights, benefits, privileges, or qualifications, or be used by a board to make a determination that would affect a licensee's rights, benefits, privileges, or qualifications. The information required to be disclosed pursuant to Section 803.1 shall not be considered among the contents of a central file for the purposes of this subdivision.

The licensee may, but is not required to, submit any additional exculpatory or explanatory statement or other information that the board shall include in the central file.

Each board may permit any law enforcement or regulatory agency when required for an investigation of unlawful activity or for licensing, certification, or regulatory purposes to inspect and have copies made of that licensee's file, unless the disclosure is otherwise prohibited by law.

These disclosures shall effect no change in the confidential status of these records.

SEC. 1.5. Section 800 of the Business and Professions Code is amended to read:

34 800. (a) The Medical Board of California, the Board of Psychology, the Dental Board of California, the Osteopathic 35 36 Medical Board of California, the State Board of Chiropractic 37 Examiners, the Board of Registered Nursing, the Board of Vocational Nursing and Psychiatric Technicians, the State Board 38 39 of Optometry, the Veterinary Medical Board, the Board of 40 Behavioral Sciences, the Physical Therapy Board of California,

SB 820 —6—

the California State Board of Pharmacy, and the Speech-Language
Pathology and Audiology Board, the California Board of
Occupational Therapy, and the Acupuncture Board shall each
separately create and maintain a central file of the names of all
persons who hold a license, certificate, or similar authority from
that board. Each central file shall be created and maintained to
provide an individual historical record for each licensee with
respect to the following information:

- (1) Any conviction of a crime in this or any other state that constitutes unprofessional conduct pursuant to the reporting requirements of Section 803.
- (2) Any judgment or settlement requiring the licensee or his or her insurer to pay any amount of damages in excess of three thousand dollars (\$3,000) for any claim that injury or death was proximately caused by the licensee's negligence, error or omission in practice, or by rendering unauthorized professional services, pursuant to the reporting requirements of Section 801 or 802.
- (3) Any public complaints for which provision is made pursuant to subdivision (b).
- (4) Disciplinary information reported pursuant to Section 805, including any additional exculpatory or explanatory statements submitted by the licentiate pursuant to subdivision (f) of Section 805. If a court finds, in a final judgment, that the peer review resulting in the 805 report was conducted in bad faith and the licensee who is the subject of the report notifies the board of that finding, the board shall include that finding in the central file. For purposes of this paragraph, "peer review" has the same meaning as defined in Section 805.
- (5) Information reported pursuant to Section 805.01, including any explanatory or exculpatory information submitted by the licensee pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 805.01.
- (b) Each board shall prescribe and promulgate forms on which members of the public and other licensees or certificate holders may file written complaints to the board alleging any act of misconduct in, or connected with, the performance of professional services by the licensee.
- If a board, or division thereof, a committee, or a panel has failed to act upon a complaint or report within five years, or has found that the complaint or report is without merit, the central file shall be purged of information relating to the complaint or report.

__7__ SB 820

Notwithstanding this subdivision, the Board of Psychology, the Board of Behavioral Sciences, and the Respiratory Care Board of California shall maintain complaints or reports as long as each board deems necessary.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

(c) The contents of any central file that are not public records under any other provision of law shall be confidential except that the licensee involved, or his or her counsel or representative, shall have the right to inspect and have copies made of his or her complete file except for the provision that may disclose the identity of an information source. For the purposes of this section, a board may protect an information source by providing a copy of the material with only those deletions necessary to protect the identity of the source or by providing a comprehensive summary of the substance of the material. Whichever method is used, the board shall ensure that full disclosure is made to the subject of any personal information that could reasonably in any way reflect or convey anything detrimental, disparaging, or threatening to a licensee's reputation, rights, benefits, privileges, or qualifications, or be used by a board to make a determination that would affect a licensee's rights, benefits, privileges, or qualifications. The information required to be disclosed pursuant to Section 803.1 shall not be considered among the contents of a central file for the purposes of this subdivision.

The licensee may, but is not required to, submit any additional exculpatory or explanatory statement or other information that the board shall include in the central file.

Each board may permit any law enforcement or regulatory agency when required for an investigation of unlawful activity or for licensing, certification, or regulatory purposes to inspect and have copies made of that licensee's file, unless the disclosure is otherwise prohibited by law.

These disclosures shall effect no change in the confidential status of these records.

- SEC. 2. Section 803.1 of the Business and Professions Code is amended to read:
- 36 803.1. (a) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the 37 Medical Board of California, the Osteopathic Medical Board of 38 California, and the California Board of Podiatric Medicine shall 39 disclose to an inquiring member of the public information regarding 40 any enforcement actions taken against a licensee, including a

-8-**SB 820**

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35 36

37

38

39

40

former licensee, by the board or by another state or jurisdiction, 2 including all of the following: 3

- (1) Temporary restraining orders issued.
- (2) Interim suspension orders issued.
- (3) Revocations, suspensions, probations, or limitations on practice ordered by the board, including those made part of a probationary order or stipulated agreement.
 - (4) Public letters of reprimand issued.
 - (5) Infractions, citations, or fines imposed.
- (b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in addition to the information provided in subdivision (a), the Medical Board of California, the Osteopathic Medical Board of California, and the California Board of Podiatric Medicine shall disclose to an inquiring member of the public all of the following:
- (1) Civil judgments in any amount, whether or not vacated by a settlement after entry of the judgment, that were not reversed on appeal and arbitration awards in any amount of a claim or action for damages for death or personal injury caused by the physician and surgeon's negligence, error, or omission in practice, or by his or her rendering of unauthorized professional services.
- (2) (A) All settlements in the possession, custody, or control of the board shall be disclosed for a licensee in the low-risk category if there are three or more settlements for that licensee within the last 10 years, except for settlements by a licensee regardless of the amount paid where (i) the settlement is made as a part of the settlement of a class claim, (ii) the licensee paid in settlement of the class claim the same amount as the other licensees in the same class or similarly situated licensees in the same class, and (iii) the settlement was paid in the context of a case where the complaint that alleged class liability on behalf of the licensee also alleged a products liability class action cause of action. All settlements in the possession, custody, or control of the board shall be disclosed for a licensee in the high-risk category if there are four or more settlements for that licensee within the last 10 years except for settlements by a licensee regardless of the amount paid where (i) the settlement is made as a part of the settlement of a class claim, (ii) the licensee paid in settlement of the class claim the same amount as the other licensees in the same class or similarly situated licensees in the same class, and (iii) the settlement was paid in the context of a case where the complaint

9 SB 820

that alleged class liability on behalf of the licensee also alleged a products liability class action cause of action. Classification of a licensee in either a "high-risk category" or a "low-risk category" depends upon the specialty or subspecialty practiced by the licensee and the designation assigned to that specialty or subspecialty by the Medical Board of California, as described in subdivision (f). For the purposes of this paragraph, "settlement" means a settlement of an action described in paragraph (1) entered into by the licensee on or after January 1, 2003, in an amount of thirty thousand dollars (\$30,000) or more.

- (B) The board shall not disclose the actual dollar amount of a settlement but shall put the number and amount of the settlement in context by doing the following:
- (i) Comparing the settlement amount to the experience of other licensees within the same specialty or subspecialty, indicating if it is below average, average, or above average for the most recent 10-year period.
- (ii) Reporting the number of years the licensee has been in practice.
- (iii) Reporting the total number of licensees in that specialty or subspecialty, the number of those who have entered into a settlement agreement, and the percentage that number represents of the total number of licensees in the specialty or subspecialty.
- (3) Current American Board of Medical Specialty certification or board equivalent as certified by the Medical Board of California, the Osteopathic Medical Board of California, or the California Board of Podiatric Medicine.
 - (4) Approved postgraduate training.

- (5) Status of the license of a licensee. By January 1, 2004, the Medical Board of California, the Osteopathic Medical Board of California, and the California Board of Podiatric Medicine shall adopt regulations defining the status of a licensee. The board shall employ this definition when disclosing the status of a licensee pursuant to Section 2027.
- (6) Any summaries of hospital disciplinary actions that result in the termination or revocation of a licensee's staff privileges for medical disciplinary cause or reason, unless a court finds, in a final judgment, that the peer review resulting in the disciplinary action was conducted in bad faith and the licensee notifies the board of that finding. For purposes of this paragraph, "peer review" has the

— 10 — SB 820

1

5

6

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

same meaning as defined in Section 805. In addition, any 2 exculpatory or explanatory statements submitted by the licentiate 3 electronically pursuant to subdivision (f) of Section 805 shall be 4 disclosed.

- (c) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Medical Board of California, the Osteopathic Medical Board of California, and the California Board of Podiatric Medicine shall disclose to an inquiring member of the public information received regarding felony convictions of a physician and surgeon or doctor of podiatric medicine.
- (d) The Medical Board of California, the Osteopathic Medical Board of California, and the California Board of Podiatric Medicine may formulate appropriate disclaimers or explanatory statements to be included with any information released, and may by regulation establish categories of information that need not be disclosed to an inquiring member of the public because that information is unreliable or not sufficiently related to the licensee's professional practice. The Medical Board of California, the Osteopathic Medical Board of California, and the California Board of Podiatric Medicine shall include the following statement when disclosing information concerning a settlement:

"Some studies have shown that there is no significant correlation between malpractice history and a doctor's competence. At the same time, the State of California believes that consumers should have access to malpractice information. In these profiles, the State of California has given you information about both the malpractice settlement history for the doctor's specialty and the doctor's history of settlement payments only if in the last 10 years, the doctor, if in a low-risk specialty, has three or more settlements or the doctor, if in a high-risk specialty, has four or more settlements. The State of California has excluded some class action lawsuits because those cases are commonly related to systems issues such as product liability, rather than questions of individual professional competence and because they are brought on a class basis where the economic incentive for settlement is great. The State of California has placed payment amounts into three statistical categories: below average, average, and above average compared to others in the doctor's specialty. To make the best health care decisions, you should view this information in perspective. You

-11- SB 820

1 could miss an opportunity for high-quality care by selecting a doctor based solely on malpractice history.

When considering malpractice data, please keep in mind:

Malpractice histories tend to vary by specialty. Some specialties are more likely than others to be the subject of litigation. This report compares doctors only to the members of their specialty, not to all doctors, in order to make an individual doctor's history more meaningful.

This report reflects data only for settlements made on or after January 1, 2003. Moreover, it includes information concerning those settlements for a 10-year period only. Therefore, you should know that a doctor may have made settlements in the 10 years immediately preceding January 1, 2003, that are not included in this report. After January 1, 2013, for doctors practicing less than 10 years, the data covers their total years of practice. You should take into account the effective date of settlement disclosure as well as how long the doctor has been in practice when considering malpractice averages.

The incident causing the malpractice claim may have happened years before a payment is finally made. Sometimes, it takes a long time for a malpractice lawsuit to settle. Some doctors work primarily with high-risk patients. These doctors may have malpractice settlement histories that are higher than average because they specialize in cases or patients who are at very high risk for problems.

Settlement of a claim may occur for a variety of reasons that do not necessarily reflect negatively on the professional competence or conduct of the doctor. A payment in settlement of a medical malpractice action or claim should not be construed as creating a presumption that medical malpractice has occurred.

You may wish to discuss information in this report and the general issue of malpractice with your doctor."

(e) The Medical Board of California, the Osteopathic Medical Board of California, and the California Board of Podiatric Medicine shall, by regulation, develop standard terminology that accurately describes the different types of disciplinary filings and actions to take against a licensee as described in paragraphs (1) to (5), inclusive, of subdivision (a). In providing the public with information about a licensee via the Internet pursuant to Section 2027, the Medical Board of California, the Osteopathic Medical

SB 820 —12—

Board of California, and the California Board of Podiatric Medicine shall not use the terms "enforcement," "discipline," or similar language implying a sanction unless the physician and surgeon has been the subject of one of the actions described in paragraphs (1) to (5), inclusive, of subdivision (a).

- (f) The Medical Board of California shall adopt regulations no later than July 1, 2003, designating each specialty and subspecialty practice area as either high risk or low risk. In promulgating these regulations, the board shall consult with commercial underwriters of medical malpractice insurance companies, health care systems that self-insure physicians and surgeons, and representatives of the California medical specialty societies. The board shall utilize the carriers' statewide data to establish the two risk categories and the averages required by subparagraph (B) of paragraph (2) of subdivision (b). Prior to issuing regulations, the board shall convene public meetings with the medical malpractice carriers, self-insurers, and specialty representatives.
- (g) The Medical Board of California, the Osteopathic Medical Board of California, and the California Board of Podiatric Medicine shall provide each licensee, including a former licensee under subdivision (a), with a copy of the text of any proposed public disclosure authorized by this section prior to release of the disclosure to the public. The licensee shall have 10 working days from the date the board provides the copy of the proposed public disclosure to propose corrections of factual inaccuracies. Nothing in this section shall prevent the board from disclosing information to the public prior to the expiration of the 10-day period.
- (h) Pursuant to subparagraph (A) of paragraph (2) of subdivision (b), the specialty or subspecialty information required by this section shall group physicians by specialty board recognized pursuant to paragraph (5) of subdivision (h) of Section 651 unless a different grouping would be more valid and the board, in its statement of reasons for its regulations, explains why the validity of the grouping would be more valid.
- SEC. 3. Section 805 of the Business and Professions Code is amended to read:
- 805. (a) As used in this section, the following terms have the following definitions:
- 39 (1) (A) "Peer review" means—a process in which both of the 40 following:

__ 13 __ SB 820

(i) A *process in which a* peer review body reviews the basic qualifications, staff privileges, employment, medical outcomes, or professional conduct of licentiates to make recommendations for quality improvement and education, if necessary, in order to do either or both of the following:

- (I) Determine whether a licentiate may practice or continue to practice in a health care facility, clinic, or other setting providing medical services, and, if so, to determine the parameters of that practice.
- (II) Assess and improve the quality of care rendered in a health care facility, clinic, or other setting providing medical services.
- (ii) Any other activities of a peer review body as-defined in clause (iii) or (iv) of specified in subparagraph (B).
 - (B) "Peer review body" includes:

- (i) A medical or professional staff of any health care facility or clinic licensed under Division 2 (commencing with Section 1200) of the Health and Safety Code or of a facility certified to participate in the federal Medicare Program as an ambulatory surgical center.
- (ii) A health care service plan registered licensed under Chapter 2.2 (commencing with Section 1340) of Division 2 of the Health and Safety Code or a disability insurer that contracts with licentiates to provide services at alternative rates of payment pursuant to Section 10133 of the Insurance Code.
- (iii) Any medical, psychological, marriage and family therapy, social work, dental, or podiatric professional society having as members at least 25 percent of the eligible licentiates in the area in which it functions (which must include at least one county), which is not organized for profit and which has been determined to be exempt from taxes pursuant to Section 23701 of the Revenue and Taxation Code.
- (iv) A committee organized by any entity consisting of or employing more than 25 licentiates of the same class that functions for the purpose of reviewing the quality of professional care provided by members or employees of that entity.
- (2) "Licentiate" means a physician and surgeon, doctor of podiatric medicine, clinical psychologist, marriage and family therapist, clinical social worker, or dentist. "Licentiate" also includes a person authorized to practice medicine pursuant to Section 2113.

SB 820 —14—

(3) "Agency" means the relevant state licensing agency having regulatory jurisdiction over the licentiates listed in paragraph (2).

- (4) "Staff privileges" means any arrangement under which a licentiate is allowed to practice in or provide care for patients in a health facility. Those arrangements shall include, but are not limited to, full staff privileges, active staff privileges, limited staff privileges, auxiliary staff privileges, provisional staff privileges, temporary staff privileges, courtesy staff privileges, locum tenens arrangements, and contractual arrangements to provide professional services, including, but not limited to, arrangements to provide outpatient services.
- (5) "Denial or termination of staff privileges, membership, or employment" includes failure or refusal to renew a contract or to renew, extend, or reestablish any staff privileges, if the action is based on medical disciplinary cause or reason.
- (6) "Medical disciplinary cause or reason" means that aspect of a licentiate's competence or professional conduct that is reasonably likely to be detrimental to patient safety or to the delivery of patient care.
- (7) "805 report" means the written report required under subdivision (b).
- (b) The chief of staff of a medical or professional staff or other chief executive officer, medical director, or administrator of any peer review body and the chief executive officer or administrator of any licensed health care facility or clinic shall file an 805 report with the relevant agency within 15 days after the effective date on which any of the following occur as a result of an action of a peer review body:
- (1) A licentiate's application for staff privileges or membership is denied or rejected for a medical disciplinary cause or reason.
- (2) A licentiate's membership, staff privileges, or employment is terminated or revoked for a medical disciplinary cause or reason.
- (3) Restrictions are imposed, or voluntarily accepted, on staff privileges, membership, or employment for a cumulative total of 30 days or more for any 12-month period, for a medical disciplinary cause or reason.
- (c) If a licentiate undertakes any action listed in paragraph (1), (2), or (3) after receiving notice of a pending investigation initiated for a medical disciplinary cause or reason or after receiving notice that his or her application for membership, staff privileges, or

15 SB 820

employment or staff privileges is denied or will be denied for a medical disciplinary cause or reason, the chief of staff of a medical or professional staff or other chief executive officer, medical director, or administrator of any peer review body and the chief executive officer or administrator of any licensed health care facility or clinic where the licentiate is employed or has staff privileges or membership or where the licentiate applied for staff privileges, membership, or employment or membership, or sought the renewal thereof, shall file an 805 report with the relevant agency within 15 days after the licentiate undertakes the action:

1 2

- (1) Resigns or takes a leave of absence from membership, staff privileges, or employment.
- (2) Withdraws or abandons his or her application for membership, staff privileges, or employment or staff privileges.
- (3) Withdraws or abandons his or her request for renewal of membership, staff privileges, or employment or staff privileges.
- (d) For purposes of filing an 805 report, the signature of at least one of the individuals indicated in subdivision (b) or (c) on the completed form shall constitute compliance with the requirement to file the report.
- (e) An 805 report shall also be filed within 15 days following the imposition of summary suspension of staff privileges, membership, or employment, if the summary suspension remains in effect for a period in excess of 14 days.
- (f) A copy of the 805 report, and a notice advising the licentiate of his or her right to submit additional statements or other information, electronically or otherwise, pursuant to Section 800, shall be sent by the peer review body to the licentiate named in the report. The notice shall also advise the licentiate that information submitted electronically will be publicly disclosed to those who request the information. The information to be reported in an 805 report shall include the name and license number of the licentiate involved, a description of the facts and circumstances of the medical disciplinary cause or reason, and any other relevant information deemed appropriate by the reporter.

A supplemental report shall also be made within 30 days following the date the licentiate is deemed to have satisfied any terms, conditions, or sanctions imposed as disciplinary action by the reporting peer review body. In performing its dissemination functions required by Section 805.5, the agency shall include a

SB 820 —16—

copy of a supplemental report, if any, whenever it furnishes a copy
of the original 805 report.

If another peer review body is required to file an 805 report, a health care service plan is not required to file a separate report with respect to action attributable to the same medical disciplinary cause or reason. If the Medical Board of California or a licensing agency of another state revokes or suspends, without a stay, the license of a physician and surgeon, a peer review body is not required to file an 805 report when it takes an action as a result of the revocation or suspension.

- (g) The reporting required by this section shall not act as a waiver of confidentiality of medical records and committee reports. The information reported or disclosed shall be kept confidential except as provided in subdivision (c) of Section 800 and Sections 803.1 and 2027, provided that a copy of the report containing the information required by this section may be disclosed as required by Section 805.5 with respect to reports received on or after January 1, 1976.
- (h) The Medical Board of California, the Osteopathic Medical Board of California, and the Dental Board of California shall disclose reports as required by Section 805.5.
- (i) An 805 report shall be maintained electronically by an agency for dissemination purposes for a period of three years after receipt.
- (j) No person shall incur any civil or criminal liability as the result of making any report required by this section.
- (k) A willful failure to file an 805 report by any person who is designated or otherwise required by law to file an 805 report is punishable by a fine not to exceed one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) per violation. The fine may be imposed in any civil or administrative action or proceeding brought by or on behalf of any agency having regulatory jurisdiction over the person regarding whom the report was or should have been filed. If the person who is designated or otherwise required to file an 805 report is a licensed physician and surgeon, the action or proceeding shall be brought by the Medical Board of California. The fine shall be paid to that agency but not expended until appropriated by the Legislature. A violation of this subdivision may constitute unprofessional conduct by the licentiate. A person who is alleged to have violated this subdivision may assert any defense available

__17__ SB 820

at law. As used in this subdivision, "willful" means a voluntary and intentional violation of a known legal duty.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

(1) Except as otherwise provided in subdivision (k), any failure by the administrator of any peer review body, the chief executive officer or administrator of any health care facility, or any person who is designated or otherwise required by law to file an 805 report, shall be punishable by a fine that under no circumstances shall exceed fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000) per violation. The fine may be imposed in any civil or administrative action or proceeding brought by or on behalf of any agency having regulatory jurisdiction over the person regarding whom the report was or should have been filed. If the person who is designated or otherwise required to file an 805 report is a licensed physician and surgeon, the action or proceeding shall be brought by the Medical Board of California. The fine shall be paid to that agency but not expended until appropriated by the Legislature. The amount of the fine imposed, not exceeding fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000) per violation, shall be proportional to the severity of the failure to report and shall differ based upon written findings, including whether the failure to file caused harm to a patient or created a risk to patient safety; whether the administrator of any peer review body, the chief executive officer or administrator of any health care facility, or any person who is designated or otherwise required by law to file an 805 report exercised due diligence despite the failure to file or whether they knew or should have known that an 805 report would not be filed; and whether there has been a prior failure to file an 805 report. The amount of the fine imposed may also differ based on whether a health care facility is a small or rural hospital as defined in Section 124840 of the Health and Safety Code.

(m) A health care service plan-registered licensed under Chapter 2.2 (commencing with Section 1340) of Division 2 of the Health and Safety Code or a disability insurer that negotiates and enters into a contract with licentiates to provide services at alternative rates of payment pursuant to Section 10133 of the Insurance Code, when determining participation with the plan or insurer, shall evaluate, on a case-by-case basis, licentiates who are the subject of an 805 report, and not automatically exclude or deselect these licentiates.

SB 820 — 18 —

1 SEC. 3.5. Section 805 of the Business and Professions Code 2 is amended to read:

- 805. (a) As used in this section, the following terms have the following definitions:
 - (b) (A) "Peer review" means both of the following:
- (i) A process in which a peer review body reviews the basic qualifications, staff privileges, employment, medical outcomes, or professional conduct of licentiates to make recommendations for quality improvement and education, if necessary, in order to do either or both of the following:
- (I) Determine whether a licentiate may practice or continue to practice in a health care facility, clinic, or other setting providing medical services, and, if so, to determine the parameters of that practice.
- (II) Assess and improve the quality of care rendered in a health care facility, clinic, or other setting providing medical services.
- (ii) Any other activities of a peer review body as specified in subparagraph (B).
 - (1)
- (B) "Peer review body" includes:
- 21 (A)

- (i) A medical or professional staff of any health care facility or clinic licensed under Division 2 (commencing with Section 1200) of the Health and Safety Code or of a facility certified to participate in the federal Medicare Program as an ambulatory surgical center.
- 26 (B
 - (ii) A health care service plan registered licensed under Chapter 2.2 (commencing with Section 1340) of Division 2 of the Health and Safety Code or a disability insurer that contracts with licentiates to provide services at alternative rates of payment pursuant to Section 10133 of the Insurance Code.
 - (\mathbf{C})
 - (iii) Any medical, psychological, marriage and family therapy, social work, dental, or podiatric professional society having as members at least 25 percent of the eligible licentiates in the area in which it functions (which must include at least one county), which is not organized for profit and which has been determined to be exempt from taxes pursuant to Section 23701 of the Revenue and Taxation Code.
- 40 (D)

-19- SB 820

(iv) A committee organized by any entity consisting of or employing more than 25 licentiates of the same class that functions for the purpose of reviewing the quality of professional care provided by members or employees of that entity.

- (2) "Licentiate" means a physician and surgeon, doctor of podiatric medicine, clinical psychologist, marriage and family therapist, clinical social worker, or dentist. "Licentiate" also includes a person authorized to practice medicine pursuant to Section 2113 or 2168.
- (3) "Agency" means the relevant state licensing agency having regulatory jurisdiction over the licentiates listed in paragraph (2).
- (4) "Staff privileges" means any arrangement under which a licentiate is allowed to practice in or provide care for patients in a health facility. Those arrangements shall include, but are not limited to, full staff privileges, active staff privileges, limited staff privileges, auxiliary staff privileges, provisional staff privileges, temporary staff privileges, courtesy staff privileges, locum tenens arrangements, and contractual arrangements to provide professional services, including, but not limited to, arrangements to provide outpatient services.
- (5) "Denial or termination of staff privileges, membership, or employment" includes failure or refusal to renew a contract or to renew, extend, or reestablish any staff privileges, if the action is based on medical disciplinary cause or reason.
- (6) "Medical disciplinary cause or reason" means that aspect of a licentiate's competence or professional conduct that is reasonably likely to be detrimental to patient safety or to the delivery of patient care.
- (7) "805 report" means the written report required under subdivision (b).
- (b) The chief of staff of a medical or professional staff or other chief executive officer, medical director, or administrator of any peer review body and the chief executive officer or administrator of any licensed health care facility or clinic shall file an 805 report with the relevant agency within 15 days after the effective date-of on which any of the following-that occur as a result of an action of a peer review body:
- (1) A licentiate's application for staff privileges or membership is denied or rejected for a medical disciplinary cause or reason.

SB 820 — 20 —

 (2) A licentiate's membership, staff privileges, or employment is terminated or revoked for a medical disciplinary cause or reason.

- (3) Restrictions are imposed, or voluntarily accepted, on staff privileges, membership, or employment for a cumulative total of 30 days or more for any 12-month period, for a medical disciplinary cause or reason.
- (c) The If a licentiate undertakes any action listed in paragraph (1), (2), or (3) after receiving notice of a pending investigation initiated for a medical disciplinary cause or reason or after receiving notice that his or her application for membership or staff privileges is denied or will be denied for a medical disciplinary cause or reason, the chief of staff of a medical or professional staff or other chief executive officer, medical director, or administrator of any peer review body and the chief executive officer or administrator of any licensed health care facility or clinic shall file an 805 report with the relevant agency within 15 days after any of the following occur after notice of either an impending investigation or the denial or rejection of the application for a medical disciplinary cause or reason where the licentiate is employed or has staff privileges or membership or where the licentiate applied for staff privileges or membership, or sought the renewal thereof, shall file an 805 report with the relevant agency within 15 days after the licentiate undertakes the action:
- (1) Resignation or Resigns or takes a leave of absence from membership, staff privileges, or employment.
- (2) The withdrawal or abandonment of a licentiate's Withdraws or abandons his or her application for staff privileges or membership or staff privileges.
- (3) The Withdraws or abandons his or her request for renewal of those privileges or membership is withdrawn or abandoned or staff privileges.
- (d) For purposes of filing an 805 report, the signature of at least one of the individuals indicated in subdivision (b) or (c) on the completed form shall constitute compliance with the requirement to file the report.
- (e) An 805 report shall also be filed within 15 days following the imposition of summary suspension of staff privileges, membership, or employment, if the summary suspension remains in effect for a period in excess of 14 days.

-21- SB 820

(f) A copy of the 805 report, and a notice advising the licentiate of his or her right to submit additional statements or other information, *electronically or otherwise*, pursuant to Section 800, shall be sent by the peer review body to the licentiate named in the report.

The report. The notice shall also advise the licentiate that information submitted electronically will be publicly disclosed to those who request the information. The information to be reported in an 805 report shall include the name and license number of the licentiate involved, a description of the facts and circumstances of the medical disciplinary cause or reason, and any other relevant information deemed appropriate by the reporter.

A supplemental report shall also be made within 30 days following the date the licentiate is deemed to have satisfied any terms, conditions, or sanctions imposed as disciplinary action by the reporting peer review body. In performing its dissemination functions required by Section 805.5, the agency shall include a copy of a supplemental report, if any, whenever it furnishes a copy of the original 805 report.

If another peer review body is required to file an 805 report, a health care service plan is not required to file a separate report with respect to action attributable to the same medical disciplinary cause or reason. If the Medical Board of California or a licensing agency of another state revokes or suspends, without a stay, the license of a physician and surgeon, a peer review body is not required to file an 805 report when it takes an action as a result of the revocation or suspension.

- (g) The reporting required by this section shall not act as a waiver of confidentiality of medical records and committee reports. The information reported or disclosed shall be kept confidential except as provided in subdivision (c) of Section 800 and Sections 803.1 and 2027, provided that a copy of the report containing the information required by this section may be disclosed as required by Section 805.5 with respect to reports received on or after January 1, 1976.
- (h) The Medical Board of California, the Osteopathic Medical Board of California, and the Dental Board of California shall disclose reports as required by Section 805.5.
- (i) An 805 report shall be maintained *electronically* by an agency for dissemination purposes for a period of three years after receipt.

SB 820 — 22 —

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

(j) No person shall incur any civil or criminal liability as the result of making any report required by this section.

- (k) A willful failure to file an 805 report by any person who is designated or otherwise required by law to file an 805 report is punishable by a fine not to exceed one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) per violation. The fine may be imposed in any civil or administrative action or proceeding brought by or on behalf of any agency having regulatory jurisdiction over the person regarding whom the report was or should have been filed. If the person who is designated or otherwise required to file an 805 report is a licensed physician and surgeon, the action or proceeding shall be brought by the Medical Board of California. The fine shall be paid to that agency but not expended until appropriated by the Legislature. A violation of this subdivision may constitute unprofessional conduct by the licentiate. A person who is alleged to have violated this subdivision may assert any defense available at law. As used in this subdivision, "willful" means a voluntary and intentional violation of a known legal duty.
- (1) Except as otherwise provided in subdivision (k), any failure by the administrator of any peer review body, the chief executive officer or administrator of any health care facility, or any person who is designated or otherwise required by law to file an 805 report, shall be punishable by a fine that under no circumstances shall exceed fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000) per violation. The fine may be imposed in any civil or administrative action or proceeding brought by or on behalf of any agency having regulatory jurisdiction over the person regarding whom the report was or should have been filed. If the person who is designated or otherwise required to file an 805 report is a licensed physician and surgeon, the action or proceeding shall be brought by the Medical Board of California. The fine shall be paid to that agency but not expended until appropriated by the Legislature. The amount of the fine imposed, not exceeding fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000) per violation, shall be proportional to the severity of the failure to report and shall differ based upon written findings, including whether the failure to file caused harm to a patient or created a risk to patient safety; whether the administrator of any peer review body, the chief executive officer or administrator of any health care facility, or any person who is designated or otherwise required by law to file an 805 report exercised due diligence despite the

__ 23 __ SB 820

failure to file or whether they knew or should have known that an 805 report would not be filed; and whether there has been a prior failure to file an 805 report. The amount of the fine imposed may also differ based on whether a health care facility is a small or rural hospital as defined in Section 124840 of the Health and Safety Code.

- (m) A health care service plan registered licensed under Chapter 2.2 (commencing with Section 1340) of Division 2 of the Health and Safety Code or a disability insurer that negotiates and enters into a contract with licentiates to provide services at alternative rates of payment pursuant to Section 10133 of the Insurance Code, when determining participation with the plan or insurer, shall evaluate, on a case-by-case basis, licentiates who are the subject of an 805 report, and not automatically exclude or deselect these licentiates.
- SEC. 4. Section 805.01 is added to the Business and Professions Code, to read:
- 805.01. (a) As used in this section, the following terms have the following definitions:
 - (1) "Agency" has the same meaning as defined in Section 805.
- (2) "Formal investigation" means an investigation performed by a peer review body based on an allegation that any of the acts listed in paragraphs (1) to (4), inclusive, of subdivision (b) occurred.
- 25 (3) "Licentiate" has the same meaning as defined in Section 26 805.
 - (4) "Peer review body" has the same meaning as defined in Section 805.
 - (b) The chief of staff of a medical or professional staff or other chief executive officer, medical director, or administrator of any peer review body and the chief executive officer or administrator of any licensed health care facility or clinic shall file a report with the relevant agency within 15 days after a peer review body makes a final decision or recommendation regarding the disciplinary action, as specified in subdivision (b) of Section 805, resulting in a final proposed action to be taken against a licentiate based on the peer review body's determination, following formal investigation of the licentiate, that any of the acts listed in paragraphs (1) to (4), inclusive, may have occurred, regardless of whether a hearing is held pursuant to Section 809.2. The licentiate

SB 820 — 24 —

shall receive a notice of the proposed action as set forth in Section 809.1, which shall also include a notice advising the licentiate of the right to submit additional explanatory or exculpatory statements electronically or otherwise.

- (1) Gross negligence, incompetence, or repeated negligent acts that involve death or serious bodily injury to one or more patients, such that the physician and surgeon represents a danger to the public.
- (1) Incompetence, or gross or repeated deviation from the standard of care involving death or serious bodily injury to one or more patients, such that the physician and surgeon poses a risk to patient safety. This paragraph shall not be construed to affect or require the imposition of immediate suspension pursuant to Section 809.5.
- (2) Drug or alcohol abuse by a physician and surgeon involving death or serious bodily injury to a patient.
- (3) Repeated acts of clearly excessive prescribing, furnishing, or administering of controlled substances or repeated acts of prescribing, dispensing, or furnishing of controlled substances without good faith effort prior examination of the patient and medical reason therefor. However, in no event shall a physician and surgeon prescribing, furnishing, or administering controlled substances for intractable pain, consistent with lawful prescribing, be reported for excessive prescribing and prompt review of the applicability of these provisions shall be made in any complaint that may implicate these provisions.
- (4) Sexual misconduct with one or more patients during a course of treatment or an examination.
- (c) The relevant agency shall be entitled to inspect and copy the following documents in the record of any formal investigation required to be reported pursuant to subdivision (b):
 - (1) Any statement of charges.
 - (2) Any document, medical chart, or exhibit.
 - (3) Any opinions, findings, or conclusions.
- (4) Any certified *copy of* medical records, *as permitted by other applicable law*.
- (d) The report provided pursuant to subdivision (b) and the information disclosed pursuant to subdivision (c) shall be kept confidential and shall not be subject to discovery, except that the information may be reviewed as provided in subdivision (c) of

__ 25 __ SB 820

Section 800 and may be disclosed in any subsequent disciplinary
hearing conducted pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act
(Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division
3 of Title 2 of the Government Code).

- (e) The report required under this section shall be in addition to any report required under Section 805.
- (f) A peer review body shall not be required to make a report pursuant to this section if that body does not make a final decision or recommendation regarding the disciplinary action to be taken against a licentiate based on the body's determination that any of the acts listed in paragraphs (1) to (4), inclusive, of subdivision (b) may have occurred.
- SEC. 5. Section 805.1 of the Business and Professions Code is amended to read:
- 805.1. (a) The Medical Board of California, the Osteopathic Medical Board of California, and the Dental Board of California shall be entitled to inspect and copy the following documents in the record of any disciplinary proceeding resulting in action that is required to be reported pursuant to Section 805:
 - (1) Any statement of charges.

- (2) Any document, medical chart, or exhibits in evidence.
- (3) Any opinion, findings, or conclusions.
- (4) Any certified copy of medical records, as permitted by other applicable law.
- (b) The information so disclosed shall be kept confidential and not subject to discovery, in accordance with Section 800, except that it may be reviewed, as provided in subdivision (c) of Section 800, and may be disclosed in any subsequent disciplinary hearing conducted pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act (Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code).
- SEC. 6. Section 805.5 of the Business and Professions Code is amended to read:
- 805.5. (a) Prior to granting or renewing staff privileges for any physician and surgeon, psychologist, podiatrist, or dentist, any health facility licensed pursuant to Division 2 (commencing with Section 1200) of the Health and Safety Code, or any health care service plan or medical care foundation, or the medical staff of the institution shall request a report from the Medical Board of California, the Board of Psychology, the Osteopathic Medical

SB 820 — 26 —

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

1 Board of California, or the Dental Board of California to determine 2 if any report has been made pursuant to Section 805 indicating 3 that the applying physician and surgeon, psychologist, podiatrist, 4 or dentist has been denied staff privileges, been removed from a 5 medical staff, or had his or her staff privileges restricted as provided in Section 805. The request shall include the name and 6 7 California license number of the physician and surgeon, 8 psychologist, podiatrist, or dentist. Furnishing of a copy of the 805 9 report shall not cause the 805 report to be a public record.

(b) Upon a request made by, or on behalf of, an institution described in subdivision (a) or its medical staff, the board shall furnish a copy of any report made pursuant to Section 805 as well as any additional exculpatory or explanatory information submitted electronically to the board by the licensee pursuant to subdivision (f) of Section 805. However, the board shall not send a copy of a report (1) if the denial, removal, or restriction was imposed solely because of the failure to complete medical records, (2) if the board has found the information reported is without merit, (3) if a court finds, in a final judgment, that the peer review, as defined in Section 805, resulting in the report was conducted in bad faith and the licensee who is the subject of the report notifies the board of that finding, or (4) if a period of three years has elapsed since the report was submitted. This three-year period shall be tolled during any period the licentiate has obtained a judicial order precluding disclosure of the report, unless the board is finally and permanently precluded by judicial order from disclosing the report. If a request is received by the board while the board is subject to a judicial order limiting or precluding disclosure, the board shall provide a disclosure to any qualified requesting party as soon as practicable after the judicial order is no longer in force.

If the board fails to advise the institution within 30 working days following its request for a report required by this section, the institution may grant or renew staff privileges for the physician and surgeon, psychologist, podiatrist, or dentist.

(c) Any institution described in subdivision (a) or its medical staff that violates subdivision (a) is guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punished by a fine of not less than two hundred dollars (\$200) nor more than one thousand two hundred dollars (\$1,200).

SEC. 7. Section 821.4 is added to the Business and Professions Code, to read:

__ 27 __ SB 820

821.4. (a) A peer review body, as defined in Section 805, that reviews physicians and surgeons, shall, within 15 days of initiating a formal investigation of a physician and surgeon's ability to practice medicine safely based upon information indicating that the physician and surgeon may be suffering from a disabling mental or physical condition that poses a threat to patient care, report to the executive director of the board the name of the physician and surgeon under investigation and the general nature of the investigation. A peer review body that has made a report to the executive director of the board under this section shall also notify the executive director of the board when it has completed or closed an investigation.

- (b) The executive director of the board, upon receipt of a report pursuant to subdivision (a), shall contact the peer review body that made the report within 60 days in order to determine the status of the peer review body's investigation. The executive director of the board shall contact the peer review body periodically thereafter to monitor the progress of the investigation. At any time, if the executive director of the board determines that the progress of the investigation is not adequate to protect the public, the executive director shall notify the chief of enforcement of the board, who shall promptly conduct an investigation of the matter. Concurrently with notifying the chief of enforcement, the executive director of the board shall notify the reporting peer review body and the chief executive officer or an equivalent officer of the hospital of its decision to refer the case for investigation by the chief of enforcement.
- (c) For purposes of this section, "board" means the Medical Board of California.
- (d) For purposes of this section, "formal investigation" means an investigation ordered by the peer review body's medical executive committee or its equivalent, based upon information indicating that the physician and surgeon may be suffering from a disabling mental or physical condition that poses a threat to patient care. "Formal investigation" does not include the usual activities of the well-being or assistance committee or the usual quality assessment and improvement activities undertaken by the medical staff of a health facility in compliance with the licensing and certification requirements for health facilities set forth in Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations, or preliminary

SB 820 — 28 —

 deliberations or inquiries of the executive committee to determine whether to order a formal investigation.

- (e) For purposes of this section, "usual activities" of the well-being or assistance committee are activities to assist medical staff members who may be impaired by chemical dependency or mental illness to obtain necessary evaluation and rehabilitation services that do not result in referral to the medical executive committee.
- (f) Information received by the executive director of the board pursuant to this section shall be governed by, and shall be deemed confidential to the same extent as records under, subdivision (d) of Section 805.01. The records shall not be further disclosed by the executive director of the board, except as provided in subdivision (b).
- (g) Upon receipt of notice from a peer review body that an investigation has been closed and that the peer review body has determined that there is no need for further action to protect the public, the executive director of the board shall purge and destroy all records in his or her possession pertaining to the investigation unless the executive director has referred the matter to the chief of enforcement pursuant to subdivision (b).
- (h) A peer review body that has made a report under subdivision (a) shall not be deemed to have waived the protections of Section 1157 of the Evidence Code. It is not the intent of the Legislature in enacting this subdivision to affect pending litigation concerning Section 1157 or to create any new confidentiality protection except as specified in subdivision (f).
- (i) The report required by this section shall be submitted on a short form developed by the board. The contents of the short form shall reflect the requirement of this section.
- (j) Nothing in this section shall exempt a peer review body from submitting a report required under Section 805 or 805.01.
- SEC. 8. Section 821.5 of the Business and Professions Code is amended to read:
- 821.5. (a) A peer review body, as defined in Section 805, that reviews physicians and surgeons, shall, within 15 days of initiating a formal investigation of a physician and surgeon's ability to practice medicine safely based upon information indicating that the physician and surgeon may be suffering from a disabling mental or physical condition that poses a threat to patient care, report to

-29 - SB 820

the diversion program of the Medical Board executive director of the board the name of the physician and surgeon under investigation and the general nature of the investigation. A peer review body that has made a report to the diversion program executive director of the board under this section shall also notify the diversion program executive director of the board when it has completed or closed an investigation.

- (b) The diversion program administrator executive director of the board, upon receipt of a report pursuant to subdivision (a), shall contact the peer review body that made the report within 60 days in order to determine the status of the peer review body's investigation. The diversion program administrator executive director of the board shall contact the peer review body periodically thereafter to monitor the progress of the investigation. At any time, if the diversion program administrator executive director of the board determines that the progress of the investigation is not adequate to protect the public, the diversion program administrator executive director shall notify the chief of enforcement of the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California board, who shall promptly conduct an investigation of the matter. Concurrently with notifying the chief of enforcement, the diversion program administrator executive director of the board shall notify the reporting peer review body and the chief executive officer or an equivalent officer of the hospital of its decision to refer the case for investigation by the chief of enforcement.
- (c) For purposes of this section, "board" means the Medical Board of California.

(c)

1 2

(d) For purposes of this section "formal investigation" means an investigation ordered by the peer review body's medical executive committee or its equivalent, based upon information indicating that the physician and surgeon may be suffering from a disabling mental or physical condition that poses a threat to patient care. "Formal investigation" does not include the usual activities of the well-being or assistance committee or the usual quality assessment and improvement activities undertaken by the medical staff of a health facility in compliance with the licensing and certification requirements for health facilities set forth in Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations, or preliminary

— 30 — SB 820

deliberations or inquiries of the executive committee to determine 2 whether to order a formal investigation. 3

4

5

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

(e) For purposes of this section, "usual activities" of the well-being or assistance committee are activities to assist medical staff members who may be impaired by chemical dependency or mental illness to obtain necessary evaluation and rehabilitation services that do not result in referral to the medical executive committee.

(d)

(f) Information received by the diversion program executive director of the board pursuant to this section shall be governed by, and shall be deemed confidential to the same extent as program records under, Section 2355 subdivision (d) of Section 805.01. The records shall not be further disclosed by the diversion program executive director of the board, except as provided in subdivision (b).

(e)

(g) Upon receipt of notice from a peer review body that an investigation has been closed and that the peer review body has determined that there is no need for further action to protect the public, the diversion program executive director of the board shall purge and destroy all records in its his or her possession pertaining to the investigation unless the diversion program administrator executive director has referred the matter to the chief of enforcement pursuant to subdivision (b).

(h) A peer review body that has made a report under subdivision (a) shall not be deemed to have waived the protections of Section 1157 of the Evidence Code. It is not the intent of the Legislature in enacting this subdivision to affect pending litigation concerning Section 1157 or to create any new confidentiality protection except as specified in subdivision (d) (f). "Pending litigation" shall include Arnett v. Dal Cielo (No. S048308), pending before the California Supreme Court.

(g)

(i) The report required by this section shall be submitted on a short form developed by the board. The board shall develop the short form, the contents of which shall reflect the requirements of this section, within 30 days of the effective date of this section. _31_ SB 820

The board shall not require the filing of any report until the short form is made available by the board. The contents of the short form shall reflect the requirements of this section.

- (h) This section shall become operative on January 1, 1997, unless the regulations required to be adopted pursuant to Section 821.6 are adopted prior to that date, in which case this section shall become operative on the effective date of the regulations.
- (j) Nothing in this section shall exempt a peer review body from submitting a report required under Section 805 or 805.01.
- SEC. 9. Section 821.6 of the Business and Professions Code is repealed.
- 821.6. The board shall adopt regulations to implement the monitoring responsibility of the diversion program administrator described in subdivision (b) of Section 821.5, and the short form required to be developed pursuant to subdivision (g), on or before January 1, 1997.

SEC. 7.

- *SEC. 10.* Section 2027 of the Business and Professions Code is amended to read:
- 2027. (a) The board shall post on the Internet the following information in its possession, custody, or control regarding licensed physicians and surgeons:
- (1) With regard to the status of the license, whether or not the licensee is in good standing, subject to a temporary restraining order (TRO), subject to an interim suspension order (ISO), or subject to any of the enforcement actions set forth in Section 803.1.
- (2) With regard to prior discipline, whether or not the licensee has been subject to discipline by the board or by the board of another state or jurisdiction, as described in Section 803.1.
- 30 (3) Any felony convictions reported to the board after January 31 3, 1991.
 - (4) All current accusations filed by the Attorney General, including those accusations that are on appeal. For purposes of this paragraph, "current accusation" shall mean an accusation that has not been dismissed, withdrawn, or settled, and has not been finally decided upon by an administrative law judge and the Medical Board of California unless an appeal of that decision is pending.
- 39 (5) Any malpractice judgment or arbitration award reported to the board after January 1, 1993.

-32

(6) Any hospital disciplinary actions that resulted in the termination or revocation of a licensee's hospital staff privileges for a medical disciplinary cause or reason. The posting shall also provide a link to any additional explanatory or exculpatory information submitted electronically by the licensee pursuant to subdivision (f) of Section 805.

- (7) Any misdemeanor conviction that results in a disciplinary action or an accusation that is not subsequently withdrawn or dismissed.
- (8) Appropriate disclaimers and explanatory statements to accompany the above information, including an explanation of what types of information are not disclosed. These disclaimers and statements shall be developed by the board and shall be adopted by regulation.
- (9) Any information required to be disclosed pursuant to Section 803.1.
- (b) (1) From January 1, 2003, the information described in paragraphs (1) (other than whether or not the licensee is in good standing), (2), (4), (5), (7), and (9) of subdivision (a) shall remain posted for a period of 10 years from the date the board obtains possession, custody, or control of the information, and after the end of that period shall be removed from being posted on the board's Internet Web site. Information in the possession, custody, or control of the board prior to January 1, 2003, shall be posted for a period of 10 years from January 1, 2003. Settlement information shall be posted as described in paragraph (2) of subdivision (b) of Section 803.1.
- (2) The information described in paragraphs (3) and (6) of subdivision (a) shall not be removed from being posted on the board's Internet Web site.
- (3) Notwithstanding paragraph (2) and except as provided in paragraph (4), if a licensee's hospital staff privileges are restored and the licensee notifies the board of the restoration, the information pertaining to the termination or revocation of those privileges, as described in paragraph (6) of subdivision (a), shall remain posted for a period of 10 years from the restoration date of the privileges, and at the end of that period shall be removed from being posted on the board's Internet Web site.
- (4) Notwithstanding paragraph (2), if a court finds, in a final judgment, that peer review resulting in a hospital disciplinary

-33 - SB 820

action was conducted in bad faith and the licensee notifies the board of that finding, the information concerning that hospital disciplinary action posted pursuant to paragraph (6) of subdivision (a) shall be immediately removed from the board's Internet Web site. For purposes of this paragraph, "peer review" has the same meaning as defined in Section 805.

- (c) The board shall also post on the Internet a fact sheet that explains and provides information on the reporting requirements under Section 805.
- (d) The board shall provide links to other Web sites on the Internet that provide information on board certifications that meet the requirements of subdivision (b) of Section 651. The board may provide links to other Web sites on the Internet that provide information on health care service plans, health insurers, hospitals, or other facilities. The board may also provide links to any other sites that would provide information on the affiliations of licensed physicians and surgeons.
- SEC. 11. Section 1.5 of this bill incorporates amendments to Section 800 of the Business and Professions Code proposed by both this bill and SB 819. It shall only become operative if (1) both bills are enacted and become effective on or before January 1, 2010, but SB 819 becomes operative first, (2) each bill amends Section 800 of the Business and Professions Code, and (3) this bill is enacted after SB 819, in which case Section 800 of the Business and Professions Code, as amended by SB 819, shall remain operative only until the operative date of this bill, at which time Section 1.5 of this bill shall become operative and Section 1 of this bill shall not become operative.
- SEC.12. (a) Section 3.5 of this bill incorporates amendments to Section 805 of the Business and Professions Code proposed by both this bill and SB 821. It shall only become operative if (1) both bills are enacted and become effective on or before January 1, 2010, (2) both bills amend Section 805 of the Business and Professions Code, and (3) this bill is enacted after SB 821, in which case Section 3 of this bill shall not become operative.

36 SEC. 8.

37 SEC. 13. This act shall only become operative if Assembly 38 Bill 120 of the 2009–10 Regular Session is also enacted and 39 becomes operative.

SB 820 — 34—

1 SEC. 14. In addition to the contingency described in Section 2 13 of this bill, all of the following shall apply:

- 3 (a) Section 7 of this bill shall only become operative if Senate 4 Bill 821 of the 2009–10 Regular Session is also enacted and 5 becomes operative and repeals Section 821.5 of the Business and 6 Professions Code.
- 7 (b) Section 8 of this bill shall not become operative if Senate 8 Bill 821 of the 2009–10 Regular Session is also enacted and 9 becomes operative and repeals Section 821.5 of the Business and 10 Professions Code.
- 11 (c) Section 9 of this bill shall not become operative if Senate 12 Bill 821 of the 2009–10 Regular Session is also enacted and 13 becomes operative and repeals Section 821.6 of the Business and 14 Professions Code.